2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1 l 12

**13** 

14

15

16

17

18

19 *L0* 

21

22 23

24

25

26

THE THE PART OF THE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

APR 3 0 2007

FCC-MAILROOM

## BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In Re the Matter of:

EB Docket No. 07-13
FRN No. 0002074797
DAVID L. TITUS.

File No. EB-06-IH-5048

Amateur Radio Operator and Licensee of Amateur Radio Station KB7ILD.

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

Mr. Titus timely tiled detailed and specific answers and responses to the Enforcement Bureau's discovery requests. He forwarded to the Bureau the only documents equested which he had or had found (not surprising, given that the Bureau's case is based in a conviction fourteen years ago when Mr. Titus was eighteen.) In addition, he stated that the would supplement several of his answers and responses when and if he found additional esponsive information and documents. Mr. Titus' attorneys also believed it was obligatory of set forth objections, both as to the apparent scope of some of the discovery requests. and of protect Mr. Titus in the event that future as-yet undiscovered information or documents contained information that was confidential and privileged.

The Bureau has now filed two lengthy, repetitive, overwrought motions accusing Mr.

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

PageNo. of Copies rec'd 0+6
List ABCDE

OR IGINAL

Law Office
DAVID S. MARSHALL
1001 Fourth Avenue. 44<sup>th</sup> Floor
Scattle, Washington 98154-1192
Telephone 206.826.1400
Fax 206.389.1708

Fitus of frustrating the Bureau's efforts to meet its burdens, including multiple paragraphs attacking Mr. Titus for failing to file a proof of service when there is no dispute that the Bureau timely received his responses, and failing to file a privilege log when Mr. Titus has stated that he has not withheld any responsive documents which he has located to date. The Bureau's motions should be denied.

#### RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION

Request No. 1: Mr. Titus has produced the only documents he has related to his one felony conviction: his Judgment and Conviction from fourteen years ago. He will continue to see if he can find any more. He has provided the Bureau with the case name and cause lumber so that they can look for such records themselves. His objections are stated because, fin the process of further looking, Mr. Titus finds any documents which may be privileged, his attorneys do not wish to he subject to any waiver of privilege claim.

Request No. 2: Mr. 'Titus has not been able to find any documents relating to his Few communications with the FCC. He further objected because the FCC itself should surely have copies in any event. The Bureau apparently believes that, while the FCC does not retain all documents. Mr. Titus should.

**Procedural Infirmities:** Mr. Titus' attorneys apologize. They made an effort to get the responses and answers served and tiled on time – and they were successful – despite the absence for an entire week of the legal assistant employed in the Law Office of David S. Marshall.

1//

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

Page 2.

Law Office
DAVID S. MARSHALL
1001 Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor
Seattle. Washington 98154-1192
Telephone 206.826.1400
Far 206.389.1708

3

h

7

5

**8** 

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

# RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL INTERROGATORY ANSWERS

**Procedural Infirmities:** See above.

General Objections: Mr. Titus' attorneys believe that these are entirely appropriate and common in Civil Practice. Mr. Titus has proceeded to answer all interrogatories despite his objections. The Bureau apparently feels that the general objections somehow make every nterrogatory answer defective. While Mr. Titus' attorneys respectfully disagree, if it would help facilitate matters. Mr. Titus' attorneys would be willing to strike the General Objections and only list objections to particular interrogatories.

Specific Interrogatories: Other than objecting to the General Objections, the 3ureau appears not to have many specific problems with Mr. Titus' answers other than several of them being, according to the Bureau, "incomplete." But it is totally mderstandable, for example, that Mr. Titus does not remember or has not kept any records of the vocational schools be attended in his teens (Interrogatory No. 1).

Mr. Titus does stand by his objection to providing information about his current employer (since August 2006) as requested in Interrogatory No. 2. The potential damage to Mr. Titus which could come from the Bureau's attorneys talking to his employer about his old conviction far outweighs any value to the Bureau somehow digging up something negative about Mr. Titus.

Mr. Titus also stands by his answer to Interrogatory No. 9. If Mr. Titus's not researching the addresses and phone numbers of people he has been on clubs with over the years hinders the Bureau's ability to prosecute its case, then the Bureau has no case other

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

Page 3

Law Office DAVID S. MARSHALL 1001 Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor Seattle, Washington 98154-1 192 Telephone 206.826.1400 Fax 206.389,1708

DAVID **TITUS' R** PONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

'age 4.

than the hope that, if it conducts a wide-ranging inquiry, it might somehow come up with someone who would say something damaging about Mr. Titus.

Obviously, should Mr. Titus choose to call any person as a witness (Interrogatory No. 13), he will fully identify that person to the Bureau so that they can be subject to cross-examination. But Mr. Titus and his attorneys have simply not yet identified those individuals. The Bureau's suspicion (Interrogatory No. 14) that Mr. Titus is planning to surprise the Bureau with unnamed witnesses and unusual theories is totally unfounded. The basis of his case is simple: He was convicted when he was teenager and has no recurrence of the problem for which he was convicted in the fourteen years since.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Mr. Titus is a law-abiding citizen who admittedly committed a serious offense fourteen years ago when he was eighteen years old. He has been thrown into these proceedings by an aggressive Enforcement Bureau which seems to believe that he has been engaged in all kinds of unknown nefarious dealings on his ham radio which will be incovered by ritling through all aspects of his personal and professional life - and that he is engaged in a plot to prevent them from finding out important information. In fact, though, Mr. Titus responded to the Bureau's discovery requests in good faith and with a reasonable amount of information. The motions should be denied.

DAVID **s.** MARSH 1001 Fourth **Avenue.** 44<sup>th</sup> Floor

Seattle, Washington 98154-1192 Telephone 206.886.1400

Law Office

Fax 206.389.1708

Respectfully submitted this Z 4 day of **April**, 2007. ] DAVID S. MARSHALL. WSBA No. 11 16 STEVEN D. BROWN, WSBA #1 1759 Attorneys for David L. Titus \DSM\CLIENT FOLDERS\Titus'Da\A-3 Pleadings\rsp&obj to 1st doc requests 040307 doc Y 

PAVID 'TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

**age** 5.

Law Office **DAVID** S. MARSHALL 1001 Fourth Avenue, 44th Floor Seattle, Washington 98154-1192 Telephone 206.826.1400 Fax 206.389.1708

9	In Re the Matter of:	EB Docket No. 07-13 FRN No. 0002074797
10	DAVID L. TITUS,	File No. EB-06-IH-5048
11	Amateur Radio Operator and Licensee of	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
12	Amateur Radio Station KB7ILD.	
13		<u> </u>
14	Tracey McDonald. Legal Assistant for the Law Office of David S. Marshall, certifies	
15	that on the 24th day of April, 2007, she deposited in the United States mail with postage	
16	prepaid thereon. copies of David Titus' Response to Motions to Compel to:	
17	Chief Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel* Federal Communications Commission 445 12 <sup>th</sup> Street. S.W., Suite 1-C768 Washington, D.C. 20054	
18		
19		
LO	Gary Schonman	
21	Special Counsel Investigations and Hearings Division	
22	Federal Communications Commission	
23	445 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 4-C330 Washington, D.C. 20554	
24	<i>                                      </i>	
25		
26	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	Law Office DAVID S. MARSHALL
	Page I	1001 Fourth <b>Avenue</b> , 44 <sup>th</sup> Floor Seattle, Washington 98154-1192 Telephone 206.826.1400 Fax 206.389.1708

William Knowles-Kellett Attorney Investigations and Hearings Division Federal Communications Commission 445 12" Street. S.W., Room 4-C330 Washington, D.C. 20554 \*Facsimile only to 202.418.0195 \\DSM\CLIENT FOLDERS\Titus'Da\A-3 Pleadings\certificate of service 042407.doc Law Office

ERTIFICATE OF SERVICE age 2.

DAVID S. MARSHALL 1001 Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor Scattle, Washington 98154-1192 Telephone 206.826.1400 Fax 206.389.1708

## BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In Re the Matter of:

DAVID L. TITUS,

Amateur Radio Operator and Licensee of Amateur Radio Station KB7ILD.

EB Docket No. 07-13 FRN No. 0002074797 File No. EB-06-IH-5048

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

Mr. Titus timely filed detailed and speci—answers and responses to the Enforcement Bureau's discovery requests. He forwarded to the Bureau the only documents equested which he had or had found (not surprising, given that the Bureau's case is based on a conviction fourteen years ago when Mr. Titus was eighteen.) In addition, he stated that we would supplement several of his answers and responses when and if he found additional esponsive information and documents. Mr. Titus' attorneys also believed it was obligatory to set forth objections, both as to the apparent scope of some of the discovery requests, and to protect Mr. Titus in the event that future as-yet undiscovered information or documents

The Bureau has now filed two lengthy, repetitive, overwrought motions accusing Mr

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

ontained information that was confidential and privileged.

'age I



Law Office
DAVID S. MARSHALL
1001 Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor
Seattle, Washington 98154-1192
Telephone 206.826.1400
Fax 206.389.1708

Titus of frustrating the Bureau's efforts to meet its burdens, including multiple paragraphs attacking Mr. Titus for failing to file a proof of service when there is no dispute that the Bureau timely received his responses, and failing to file a privilege log when Mr. Titus has stated that he has not withheld any responsive documents which he has located to date. The Bureau's motions should be denied.

#### RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION

**Request No. 1**: Mr. Titus has produced the only documents he has related to his one felony conviction: his Judgment and Conviction from fourteen years ago. He will continue to see if he can find any more. He has provided the Bureau with the case name and cause number so that they can look for such records themselves. His objections are stated because, if in the process of further looking, Mr. Titus finds any documents which may be privileged, his attorneys do not wish to be subject to any waiver of privilege claim.

**Request No. 2:** Mr. Titus has not been able to find any documents relating to his few communications with the FCC. He further objected because the FCC itself should surely have copies in any event. The Bureau apparently believes that, while the FCC does not retain all documents, Mr. Titus should.

**Procedural Infirmities:** Mr. Titus' attorneys apologize. They made an effort to get the responses and answers served and tiled on time – and they were successful – despite the absence for an entire week of the legal assistant employed in the Law Office of David S. Marshall.

25

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

Page 2.

Law Office DAVID S. MARSHALL 1001 Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor Seattle, Washington 98154-1192 Telephone 206.826.1400 Fax 206.389.1708

#### RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL INTERROGATORY ANSWERS

**Procedural Infirmities:** See above.

General Objections: Mr. Titus' attorneys believe that these are entirely appropriate and common in Civil Practice. Mr. Titus has proceeded to answer all interrogatories despite his objections. The Bureau apparently feels that the general objections somehow make every interrogatory answer defective. While Mr. Titus' attorneys respectfully disagree, if it would help facilitate matters, Mr. Titus' attorneys would be willing to strike the General Objections and only list objections to particular interrogatories.

Specific Interrogatories: Other than objecting to the General Objections, the Bureau appears not to have many specific problems with Mr. Titus' answers other than several of them being, according to the Bureau, "incomplete." But it is totally understandable, for example, that Mr. Titus does not remember or has not kept any recor of the vocational schools he attended in his teens (Interrogatory No. 1).

Mr. Titus does stand by his objection to providing information about his current employer (since August 2006) as requested in Interrogatory No. 2. The potential damage to Mr. Titus which could come from the Bureau's attorneys talking to his employer about his old conviction far outweighs any value to the Bureau somehow digging up something negative about Mr. Titus

Mr. Titus also stands by his answer to Interrogatory No. 9. If Mr. Titus's not researching the addresses and phone numbers of people he has been on clubs with over the years hinders the Bureau's ability to prosecute its case, then the Bureau has no case other

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

Page 3.

Law Office
DAVID S. MARSHALL
100 I Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor
Seattle, Washington 98154-1192
Telephone 206.826.1400
Fax 206.389.1708

///

///

///

than the hope that, if it conducts a wide-ranging inquiry, it might somehow come up with someone who would say something damaging about Mr. Titus.

Obviously, should Mr. Titus choose to call any person as a witness (Interrogatory No 13), he will fully identify that person to the Bureau so that they can be subject to cross-examination. Hut Mr. Titus and his attorneys have simply not yet identified those individuals. The Bureau's suspicion (Interrogatory No. 14) that Mr. Titus is planning to surprise the Bureau with unnamed witnesses and unusual theories is totally unfounded. The basis of his case is simple: He was convicted when he was teenager and has no recurrence of the problem for which he was convicted in the fourteen years since.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Mr. Titus is a law-abiding citizen who admittedly committed a serious offense fourteen years ago when he was eighteen years old. He has been thrown into these proceedings by an aggressive Enforcement Bureau which seems to believe that he has been engaged in all kinds of unknown nefarious dealings on his ham radio which will be uncovered by rifling through all aspects of his personal and professional life - and that he is engaged in a plot to prevent them from finding out important information. In fact, though, Mr. Titus responded to the Bureau's discovery requests in good faith and with a reasonable amount of information. The motions should be denied.

DAVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL

Page 4.

Law Office
DAVID S. MARSHALL
1001 Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor
Seattle, Washington 98154-1192
'Telephone206.826.1400
Fax 206.389.1708

Respectfully submitted this 2

DAVID S. MARSHALL, WSBA No. 11716 STEVEN D. BROWN, WSBA #1 1759

Attorneys for David L. Titus

\DSM\CLIENT FOLDERS\Titus'Da\A-3 Pleadings\rsp&obj to 1st doc requests 040307 doc

AVID TITUS' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS O COMPI

Law Office
DAVID S. MARSHALL
1001 Fourth Avenue, 44th Floor
Seattle, Washington 98154-1192
Telephone 206.826.1400
Fax 206.389.1708

Fax 206 389 1708

William Knowles-Kellett Attorney Investigations and Hearings Division Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 4-C330 Washington, D.C. 20554

'Facsimile only to 202.418.0195

f

Е

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Tracey McDonald

ANDSMICLIENT FOLDERSITitus DalA-3 Pleadings certificate of service 042407.doc

ERTIFIC )F SERVICE

age 2.

Law Office DAVID S. MARSHALL 1001 Fourth Avenue, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor Seattle, Washington 98154-1192 Telephone 206.826.1400 Fax 206.389 1708